UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/684,893	10/14/2003	J. Milton Harris	044646/262893	044646/262893 4856	
826 7590 05/29/2007 ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			EXAMINER		
			WEBMAN,	EDWARD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOTTE,	, 110 20200 4000		1616		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	,		. 05/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/684,893		HARRIS, J. MILTON		
Examiner		Art Unit		
Edward J. Wel	oman	1616	·	

	Edward J. Webman	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing day.	of the fee. The appropri pinally set in the final Officate of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 May 2007</u> . A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		30000
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	•
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(I-10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>20 and 21</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,17-19 and 22-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15,16,26 and 27</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessari	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	/	
13. Other:			
		EDWARD J. WESHA PRIMARY EXAMIRE GROUP 1500	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to the now claimed hydrogel, it is argued that a hydrogel is formed upon exposure to bodily fluids (see column 9 lines 35-36). Applicants argue that the coupling agent is used for branching rather than crosslinking. However, applicants disclose trimethylol propane (page 9 last line) for crosslinking, the same compound disclosed as a coupling compound in Bezwada et al '687 (see column 4 line 61). Applicants argue that they claim non-PEG polymers are not present. However, applicants remain silent silent regarding the the polymers disclosed in Bezwada et al '687 cited in the second paragraph of the final rejection, which are the same as those claimed. Applicants argue the Bezwada et al '687 polymers are hydrophobic. However, the cited polymers are the same as those claimed, therefore, they must be hydrophilic as well as hydrolyzable. In fact, the reference teaches the latter property (see column 9 lines 35-37)..